American Society of Sanitary Engineering Seal (Certification) Program Laboratory Evaluation Report for: Barrier Type Floor Drain Trap Seal Protection Devices		
Tested under AS	SE Standard 1072 • Issued: September, 2007	
	Laboratory File Number	
Manufacturer		
Model No		
Address		
Serial No		
Other Identification Marking	JS	
General information and instru Within the text there may be it indicates could be troublesom There may be other items for Should there be a question of	uctions for the testing engineer: tems which are only advisory to conditions which experience ne. It is not for evaluation related to acceptance of the product. which the judgment of the test engineer will be involved. f compliance with that provision of the standard, a conference	
with the manufacturer should be arranged to enable a satisfactory solution of the question. Should disagreement persist and compliance remain in question by the test agency, the agency shall, if the product is in compliance with all other requirements of the standard, file a complete report on the questionable items together with the test report, for evaluation by the ASSE Seal Control Board. The Seal Control Board will then review and rule on the question of compliance with the intent of the standard item involved. Documentation of material compliance must be furnished by the manufacturer. He shall furnish to the testing agency, a bill of material which clearly identifies the material of each part included in the product construction. This identification must include any standards which relate thereto.		

Product Name		
Model Number		_ Size(s)
Date Submitted for Review	Date	Review Complete
Were the test units production models?	Yes	🗌 No
or prototypes?	Yes	🗌 No

Section I

1.0 General

	1.1		ation	this so	tion
		Does th	is device, as stated by the manufacturer, comply with		Yes
					No Questionable
		lf quest	ionable, explain:		
	1.2	Scope 1.2.1 Does th protecti	Description le device conform to the product classified as a barrie on device?	r type flo Yes No	oor drain trap seal
		If quest	ionable, explain:	Questi	onable
		1.2.2	Size Size of the device(s) submitted for testing:		
		1.2.4	Rating Type of floor or floor finish for which this device was	tested: _	
Sectio	on II				
2.0	Test Sp	becimen	S		
	2.1	How ma	any devices of each size and model were submitted for	or testing	g?

2.2 How many devices of each size and model were utilized during the laboratory evaluation and for which sections? _____

	2.3	Were assembly drawings, installation drawings and other technical data which are needed to enable a testing agency to determine compliance with this standard subn with the device?	nitted
Section	on III		
3.0	Perforr 3.1	mance and Compliance Testing Flow Test	2
		Yes Questionable	ſ
		If questionable, explain:	
		What was the flow rate used for this test? GPM (L/M)	
		Water was allowed to flow for minutes.	
		Did any water overflow the open side of the assembly pan? Ves No	
		In compliance?	
	3.2	Evaporation TestInitial weight of vessel A with 2.0 inches of water: lbs.Initial weight of vessel B with 2.0 inches of water and the fouling wire: lbs.Initial weight of vessel C with 2.0 inches of water: lbs.Was the temperature and humidity of the test environment maintained at 100.0°F ± $(37^{\circ}C \pm 2.8^{\circ}C)$ and 20% (±10%) relative humidity throughout the test?UYes	5.0°F
		The test period was for hours	
		Final weight of Vessel A: lbs Final weight of Vessel B: lbs Final weight of Vessel C: lbs	

Was the weight loss in vessel B greater than 10% of the weight loss of cylinder A?

Yes
No

	Was the weight loss in vessel C greater than 0.01 pounds?		Yes No
	In compliance?		Yes No
3.3	Trap Seal Interference Test With the device installed in a floor drain per the manufacturer's i did any portion of the device extend into the water seal of the flo	nstall or dra	ation instructions, ain trap? Yes No
3.4	Opening Test How many ounces of water did it take to open the device and all ounces	ow pa	assage of water?
3.5	Dirt and Debris Test Following the pouring of 1.0 ounce of sand in the center of the d 5.0 gallons of water in the center of the floor drain at 1.0 GPM, v Section 3.2?	evice vas th □	and then pouring ne device retested to Yes No
	Did the device again pass the evaporation test of 3.2?		Yes No
3.6	Floor Wax Test Was 1 cup (236.8 ml) of Johnson Diversey Signature floor wax p the device?	ooure	d into the center of Yes No
	What was the length of time it took for the wax to dry?	minut	tes
	Did water overflow the floor drain top grate when subjected to a L/M)	flow i	rate of 1.0 GPM (3.8 Yes No
	In compliance?		Yes No Questionable
	If questionable explain:		
3.7	Grease Test Was the test media prepared per Section 3.7.2?		Yes No

Was the 5 gallons (18.9 liters) of media poured in the center of t	he flo	oor drain grate? Yes No
How long was the assembly left undisturbed? hours		
Did water overflow the floor drain top grate when subjected to a L/M)?	flow i	rate of 1.0 GPM (3.8 Yes No
In compliance?		Yes No Questionable
If questionable explain:		
Life Cycle Test What was the water temperature used during the hot water cycle °F (°C)	e test	?
How many hot water cycles was the device subjected to?	C)	/cles
What was the water temperature used during the ambient water°F (°C)	. cycle	e test?
How many ambient water cycles was the device subjected to? _		cycles
Was the device retested to section 3.2?		Yes No
What was the length of time between the completion of cycle test retesting to section 3.2? hours	sts an	d the start of
Was the device in compliance with section 3.2 when retested?		Yes No
Physical Test of Membrane MaterialWas the device tested to and in compliance with the following AD1149 for ozone resistance?YesD471 for water absorption?YesD543 for chemical reagents?YesG53 for weather-o-meter?YesD624 for split tear?YesD2137 method A for non-brittle brittleness?Yes	STM	test methods: No No No No No No
	Was the 5 gallons (18.9 liters) of media poured in the center of the theorem of theorem of the theorem of theorem of the theorem of the theorem of theorem of the theorem of theorem of theorem of the theorem of theorem of theorem of theorem of theorem of the theorem of	Was the 5 gallons (18.9 liters) of media poured in the center of the floe How long was the assembly left undisturbed?

Section IV

4.0 Detailed Requirements

4.1 Materials

Do all internal metallic parts have a corrosion resistance equal to or greater than stainless steel series 300?

4.2 Instruction for Marking and Installation

4.2.1 Marking

Each device shall have the following visible permanent markings:

- A. Name of manufacturer or trademark
- B. Application designation (pert table 2 of this standard)

Yes
No

☐ Yes ☐ No

Identify the method of permanent marketing:

- 4.2.2 Packaging
 - Was the following information marked on the packaging:

A. Name of manufacturer or trademark?		Yes	No
B. Model number or description of device?		Yes	No
C. Rating of type of floor?		Yes	No
D. Rated for grease-laden waste (if applicable)?	° □	Yes	No

E. Floor drain size?

TESTING AGENCY	
ADDRESS	
PHONE: FAX:	
TEST ENGINEER(S)	
We certify that the evaluations are based on our best judgments a accurate record of the performance of the device on test.	and that the test data recorded is an
Signature of the official of the agency:	
Title of the official:	Date:
Signature and seal of the Registered Professional Engineer supervising the laboratory evaluation:	
Signature	Seal